

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,044	08/28/2003	Ikuya Yamashita	101175-00035	6945
4372 7590 03/08/2007 ARENT FOX PLLC			EXAMINER	
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			PATEL, VINIT H	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/650,044	YAMASHITA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vinit H. Patel	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
atus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>18 August 2006</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	n and/or alastian requirement	:	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	in and/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers	• .		
9) The specification is objected to by the E			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	-	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-132.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.		
		Application No	
Certified copies of the priority do		received in this National Stage	
2. Certified copies of the priority do3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have beer	riodorioa in tino rialional otago	
	·	·	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 10/650,044 Page 2

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Response, filed August 8, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) with respect to the application of Wegeng et al., US Pat. Pub. No. 2006/0045842, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. Applicant maintains that claim 1 is limited to claiming only a single reforming means or single reformer 5 (See Remarks dated August 18, 2006 at Page 6 and as disclosed in Applicant's specification, See Fig. 1, reformer 5) and as such no teaching or suggestion of a single reformer supplying hydrogen to a first and second fuel is found in Wegeng, the rejection is widthdrawn with respect to Wegeng. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as detailed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogino (JP 10-139401) in view of Fairlie et al. (WO 00/69773).

Regarding claim 1, Ogino discloses a hydrogen supply unit comprising: a reforming means (30);

Application/Control Number: 10/650,044

Art Unit: 1764

a storage means (55/92) for storing and supplying hydrogen gas from said reforming means to fuel cells (100);

said storage means (55/92) comprising a pressurization means (50) in the supply line (17, 18, 21) thereto; and

a purifying means (4) located upstream of the storage means (55/92) also located along the supply line (17, 18, 21).

Ogino fails to disclose a second line, also comprising all of the same elements of the first line so that a portion of the generated hydrogen stream is fed separately to one of the fuel cells (100).

Providing a duplicate line from the reformer (30) of Ogino, which includes the purifying means, pressurization means, and storage means, to one of the fuel cells (100) disclosed would amount to a mere duplication of parts. It has been held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. *In re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

In addition, Fairlie et al. provides the general teaching of splitting the gas generated in a reformer 10 to various users 16, which include fuel cells, fuel cell vehicles and electrical generators (Abstract) to increase the ability to manage those unit operations for providing an effective and economic hydrogen energy distribution network (P5/L15-25). Therefore, it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide separate hydrogen feed lines to each fuel cell of Ogino in order to increase the ability to control and manage those individual fuel cells and produce cheaper hydrogen (P5/L25-29).

Application/Control Number: 10/650,044

Art Unit: 1764

Regarding the recitation in claim 1 of intended use of said first and second fuel cells, it is noted that a recitation directed to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be used does not distinguish the claimed apparatus from the prior art.

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Ogino discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Additionally the reference discloses the unit further comprises:

wherein storage means stores hydrogen by use of a hydrogen absorbing alloy ([0062]- [0070]); and

a remaining amount detecting means (56) for hydrogen gas stored in the storage means and a control means (60).

Regarding limitations recited in claim 5 which are directed to a manner of operating disclosed system, neither the manner of operating a disclosed device nor material or article worked upon further limit an apparatus claim. Said limitations do not differentiate apparatus claims from prior art. See MPEP §2114 and 2115. Further, process limitations do not have patentable weight in an apparatus claim. See *Ex parte Thibault*, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969) that states "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof and to an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim."

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogino (JP 10-139401) in view of Fairlie et al. (WO 00/69773) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Fujitani et al. (USP 5,728,483).

Regarding claim 4, Ogino in view of Fairlie et al., discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Additionally Ogino discloses that heat (from heater 95) is

Art Unit: 1764

required for release of hydrogen from the hydrogen storage alloy, but the reference does not explicitly disclose that said heat is a waste heat of said reforming means or a waste heat of said first fuel cell.

Fujitani et al. teaches a high energy efficiency device wherein heat required for release of hydrogen from the hydrogen storage alloy is a waste heat of a fuel cell (Fig. 1 and C2/L3O- C3/L64).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the waste heat of the fuel cell as a source of heat needed to release hydrogen from the hydrogen storage alloy, as taught by Fujitani et 2., in the device of Ogino, for the purpose of improving energy efficiency of the device by using heat that otherwise would be wasted.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vinit H. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,044

Art Unit: 1764

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

vhp

Glenn Caldarola
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700